

Lecture 14

System Integrity Services Obfuscation

OS independent integrity checking

- Observation
 - Majority of critical server vulnerabilities are memory based
 - Modern anti-virus software must scan memory
 - Modern malware must disable, tamper with, or circumvent such scanning
 - Bagle and Lion worms attempt to kill anti-virus scanning
- Intel's solution: SMM/AMT
 - System Management Mode starring the Active Management Technology platform
 - Use isolated trusted firmware solution to ensure the presence and integrity of anti-virus software

Problems with current IDS

- Host IDS
 - Rootkit allows unrestricted system access to enable attacker to thwart checks
 - Example: Witty
- Network IDS
 - Insertion, evasion attacks
- VMM (virtual machine isolation)
 - IDS running in VMM vulnerable to attack from DMA device
- Programmable DMA device to scan host memory (Petroni)
 - Difficult to do since page tables not located at well-known addresses

Threats

- Image modification
 - Modify in-memory executable code of agent
 - Permanent or transient (restore when integrity check runs)
- Disable agent
 - Kill agent, steal interrupt vectors of agent, modify OS scheduler to bypass agent
 - IDSes that do memory read verification only (and not execution verification) are vulnerable
- Out-of-context jump
 - Trick IDS into signing bogus “health reports” by jumping directly to its signing code
- Dynamic data modification
 - Modify important data agent uses (i.e. filtering rules) or program state
- Interrupt hijacking
 - Modify state of agent when it is interrupted asynchronously

Threats

- System Management RAM cache attack
 - Modify cached copy of SMM handler to execute malicious code in SMM
- Multi-processor attack
 - Run code in parallel to agent on MP systems to cause undesired effects
- Exploit software defects
 - Buffer-overflow, format string attacks
- Agent circumvention
 - Bypass checking code by reimplementing code without check in it (i.e. filters in device drivers)
- DMA attack
 - For VMM systems, instruct an I/O device to perform a DMA directly into VMM to “inject” into “isolated” environment
- Theft of secrets
 - Steal secrets used by agent (keys) to impersonate them

Verification approach

- Locality
 - Location in memory that program resides
 - Do not allow requests from outside of agent's memory to gain access
- Integrity
 - Check whether or not an agent has been modified from its original state
- Execution state
 - Check whether agent is running or being scheduled to run over time
- Problems
 - Does not address tampering with dynamic data
 - Function pointers?

SIS

- Host agent initialization
 - SIS specific initialization code section executed when agent is loaded
 - Registers with IMM (integrity management manager)
 - Locations of critical sections in host virtual memory
 - Location of its integrity manifest
- Integrity manifest
 - Signed summary description of critical components of host software agent
 - Section table
 - Relocation table
 - Symbol table
 - Mirrored from ELF and PE formats
 - Signed by private key of host software agent manufacturer

SIS

- Integrity verification
 - First check done at registration when agent begins
 - Periodically after that
 - Integrity check value (ICV) or a MAC signed by secret key stored in SMRAM
 - Problem: virtual memory implemented by OS
 - VMRS: virtual memory reconstitution service implemented
 - Subsequent checks use SMI to initiate ICV
- Locality verification
 - ISM checks CR3, CS and EIP
 - Virtual address of instruction triggering SMI calculated
 - Program identified based on previously registration with IMM
- Key management
 - Platform master key used to derive session keys

SIS

- ISM operation
 - Must ensure consistent measurement by disallowing modifications when measuring
 - Details in paper
- Virtual memory reconstitution
 - Maps host virtual address to physical address while running on isolated partition
- Dynamic data protection
 - Perform integrity checks to detect changes to protected dynamic data
 - Only reasonable to do on small amount of very critical data

Agent execution detection

- Use IMM to generate ICV over a heartbeat message
 - Send signature to host agent
 - Host agent appends to heartbeat message sends it out
 - IMM double-checks message to ensure integrity of heartbeat

Threats revisited

- Image modification
 - Integrity checks detect this
- Disable agent
 - Detected since authentic heartbeats stop
- Out-of-context jump
 - Partially handled using locality (SMI tracing)
- Dynamic data modification
 - Signing and verifying source and validity of dynamic data updates
- Interrupt hijacking
 - Disabling interrupts and verifying it within SMI handler

Threats revisited

- System Management RAM cache attack
 - SMI flushes cache upon exit
- Multi-processor attack
 - Stop other cores and threads upon running SIS
- Exploit software defects
 - Addressed by No-EX bit?
- Agent circumvention
 - Tie critical functions to SMI
- DMA attack
 - SMRAM inaccessible to DMA due to hardware restrictions
- Theft of secrets
 - Stored in SMRAM

Open problems

- Kernel data structure integrity
 - Interrupt Vector Table
- DLL integrity
- Integration with platform security tools
 - Firewalls, IDS

Obfuscation

Objectives

- Slow reverse engineering process
 - Make automated analysis difficult
 - Make code more complicated
 - Make decompilation difficult
 - Make code unreadable by human

Metrics

- Resilience
 - Irreversibility
- Cost
 - Added run-time or code size
- Stealth
 - Similarity to rest of code

Techniques

- Data obfuscation
- Control flow obfuscation
- Advanced techniques

Data obfuscation

- Renaming variables, procedures, classes, methods
- Deleting comments and spaces
- Inserting dead code
- Variable splitting
- Scalar/object conversion
- Change variable lifetime
- Split/fold/merge arrays
- Change encoding
- Merge scalar variables

Control-flow obfuscation

- Break basic blocks
- Inline methods
- Outline statements
- Unroll loops
- Reorder statements
- Reorder loops
- Merge all functions into one

Advanced techniques

- Reuse identifiers
- Misleading comments
- Modify inheritance relations
- Convert static data to procedural data
- Store part of program as text and interpret it only during runtime
- Remove library calls
- Attack specific decompilers and debuggers

Shiva (Mehta/Clowes 2003)

- Outer encryption layer
 - Defeats “strings”
 - Slows access to protected code
- TRAP flag detection
 - Defeat single-stepping
- “checkme” data check
- ptrace defense
 - Exits if ptrace active
 - Clones itself and two copies ptrace each other to prevent additional PTRACE_ATTACH “inter-ptrace”
- Timing checks
- AES, password protected middle encryption layer
- Inner encryption layer
 - Run-time protection

Shiva (Mehta/Clowes 2003)

- /proc defenses
 - Only portions of binary decrypted at a given time
- INT 3 instruction replacement
 - Some instructions replaced with INT 3
 - Instructions emulated in INT 3 handler
 - If debugger uses INT 3, code will be missing
- Jumping into middle of instructions
- Polymorphic code generation

Reversing Shiva

- Use similar techniques to run partially and dump images
 - Scripted decryption via IDA scripts
 - Virtual x86 plugin for IDA

.NET reversing

- Reversing tutorial on .NET
 - <http://accessroot.com>
 - <http://www.blong.com/Conferences/DCon2003/ReverseEngineering/ReverseEngineering.htm>
- Tools
 - ILDASM
 - Disassembler that comes with .NET framework SDK
 - Reflector
 - Dis#

.NET obfuscation

- http://www.codebreakers-journal.com/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=123&Itemid=97
- StrongName
 - Verifies code integrity via cryptographic hash calculation
 - Prevents patching
 - Easily bypassed via ildasm edits to remove signature scheme
 - <http://www.andreabertolotto.net/>
 - StrongName Remove
 - Or patch system DLL to make check return valid all the time

.NET obfuscation

- Name obfuscation
 - Change metadata saved with binary to make names either unprintable or random



The screenshot shows the Visual Studio IDE with a disassembler window open. The left sidebar displays a tree view of the assembly's metadata, including base types, derived types, and various methods and fields. The disassembler window shows the following code:

```
private void x73e711f48aa0238b(object x89797597ff45d640, EventArgs x92a31911cd18ca81)
{
    string text1 = this.xed2399b4564968f9.Text.Trim();
    while (0 == 0)
    {
        if (Operators.CompareString(text1, "", false) != 0)
        {
            break;
        }
        if (-1 != 0)
        {
            return;
        }
    }
    Label_002F:
        x83a7a42c48984168.x2e6643035572cbe8[0].AppendChild(x83a7a42c48984168.xcedf2cc56311efb9);
    Label_0045:
        x83a7a42c48984168.xe4fbcd097abe9199.Save(x83a7a42c48984168.x927fbb62f7b835fd);
    return;
    Label_005B:
        x83a7a42c48984168.x6e6254a15847ee4f.Value = text1;
    if (0 != 0)
    {
        goto Label_008F;
    }
}
```

.NET obfuscation

- Flow obfuscation
 - Make msil reading hard by preventing its translation into a HLL
 - Adding boolean checks that are always true or false
 - Splitting source into many segments and connecting them using various branches
 - Mess with stack
 - MSIL is stack-based and will not allow unballanced stack
 - Insert a “pop” that will never run
 - Breaks Reflector

```
.assembly extern mscorlib { }  
.assembly extern System{}  
.assembly sample {}  
.method public hidebysig void Main()  
{  
  .entrypoint  
  br.s start_here  
  pop  
  start_here:  
  ldstr "hello!"  
  call void [mscorlib]System.Console::WriteLine(string)  
  ret  
}
```

.NET obfuscation

- Metadata encryption
 - String references stored as metadata in managed PE file
 - Often the key in reversing
 - Encrypt to hide and decrypt just before use

Dotfuscator

- <http://www.preemptive.com/products/dotfuscator/FAQ.html>
- Uses a variety of mechanisms to obfuscate
- All done after compilation (i.e. does not modify source code)

Dotfuscator

- <http://www.preemptive.com/products/dotfuscator/FAQ.html>
- “Overload Induction” renaming
 - Identify colliding sets of methods across inheritance hierarchies
 - Rename such sets according to some enumeration (e.g. the alphabet or unprintable characters).
 - Method overloading is induced on a grand scale
 - OI algorithm determines all opportunities for name reuse and takes advantage of them.
 - Can use return type to determine method uniqueness as well
 - Anecdotal evidence
 - 33% of ALL methods were renamed to a single character (such as "a").
 - Typically, 10% more are renamed to "b", etc.
 - overload induction reduces the final program size of obfuscated code.
 - Up to 10% of the size savings in Dotfuscated and DashO'd programs

Dotfuscator

- Undoing Dotfuscator renaming
 - Decompiler needs to implement overload induction themselves (ironically, violating Preemptive's patent in the process) to undo it.
 - Overload induction is provably irreversible
 - The best reversing will come out with a different number of unique methods than the original source code contained
 - Overload induction destroys original overloading relationships
 - In reversed state, there will be no overloaded methods.
 - Grand designers of OO technology implemented overloaded methods as a way of creating "more readable code"
 - By removing that ability, the code has less information in it than before.

Dotfuscator

- Also supports
 - String encryption
 - Incremental obfuscation (for patches)
 - Control-flow obfuscation
 - Breaks loops and other HLL control structures up